



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,764	03/13/2006	Christian Birk	11336-1012 (P03136US)	8887
757	7590	02/22/2008	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			SALAZAR, LUIS A	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
4192				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,764	BIRK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LUIS A. SALAZAR	4192	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2006.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 13 March 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/12/2005 and 11/16/2007</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal terms (e.g., comprising). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the title. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant uses claimed limitations: “also interfaces (4a, 4b, 4c) which” in lines 5 and 6 , and “has two or more microphones (3a, 3b, 3c)”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. For examination purpose, the examiner will interpret the above claimed limitations as understood from the applicant’s specification. Appropriate corrections are required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because they include rectangular boxes without appropriate legends. For example, figures 1, 2 and 3 need appropriate legends. Empty or not properly labeled rectangular boxes in a system are not descriptive, and therefore incomplete. See 37 CFR 1.83(a) and 1.84(o).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Konopka et al. (PCT WO 01/29823 A1), hereinafter referred as Konopka.

5. As per claim 1, Konopka teaches a voice input system (Konopka, figure 1) comprising:

a stationary central unit (1) (Konopka, figure 1, subblock 102);
a mobile voice interface (2) (Konopka, page 17, lines 1-2; “the interface 212 may be any other type link, either wireline or wireless, as known in the art”);
wherein the central unit (1) (Konopka, figure 1, subblock 102); has means for receiving voice signals (Konopka , page 7, lines 11-13) communicated via a wireless link (Konopka, figure 2, subblock 212; page 17, lines 1-2; “the interface 212 may be any other type link, either wireline or wireless, as known in the art”);
means for evaluating these voice signals to recognize spoken words (Konopka, page 3, lines 29-35 and page 4, lines 1-4);
interfaces (4a, 4b, 4c) (Konopka, figure 1); which, depending on recognized words (Konopka, page 3, lines 29-35 and page 4, lines 1-4); generate switching or regulation signals which they transmit to external appliances (Konopka, figure 1, subblocks 114; page 4, lines 10-24);
wherein the voice interface (2) (Konopka, figure 1, subblock 102); has two or

more microphones (3a, 3b, 3c) (Konopka, figure 1, subblocks 110 and 108) which are combined to form a microphone array (Konopka, page 3, lines 22-26); also means for the wireless transmission of the signals generated by the microphone array to the central unit (1) (Konopka, page 17, lines 1-2; “the interface 212 may be any other type link, either wireline or wireless, as known in the art”).

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUIS A. SALAZAR whose telephone number is (571)270-5250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pankaj Kumar can be reached on (571)272-6000. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LS

/Pankaj Kumar/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4192